United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/553,876 | 10/21/2005 | Hitoshi Kuma | 28955.1055 | 7860 | |
| 27890 STFPTOF & I | 27890 7590 05/02/2007 STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP | | | EXAMINER | |
| 1330 CONNEC | CTICUT AVENUE, N.W. | | TSO, WILLIAM | | |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20036 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2879 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| • | | | 05/02/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| _ | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| i | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 10/553,876 | KUMA, HITOSHI | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | William Tso | 2879 | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | |
| VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Downsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the unit or the provision of the provisi | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C.§ 133). | | |
| Status | | • | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 O | <u>ctober 2005</u> . | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the m | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | · | • | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. | • | | | |
| •— | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | wn from consideration. | | | |
| 5)[| Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | and a straight and a straight | | | |
| 8)[_] | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | |
| , | The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The drawing(s) filed on 21 October 2005 is/are | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | | |
| 11)[| The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | xaminer. Note the attached Office | Action of form P10-152. | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| • | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a |)-(d) or (f). | | |
| | 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority document | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | ed in this National Stage | | |
| * | application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list | | ed | | |
| | Occurre attached detailed Office action for a list | Of the continue copies not recon- | - | | |
| | · | | | | |
| | | · · | | | |
| Attachme | • • | □ · | · (DTO 442) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D | Date | | |
| 3) 🛛 Info | rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>21 Oct 2005</u> . | 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Patent Application | | |

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - On page 5 lines 16, applicant recites "electron infection layer 204". An
 "electron injection layer 2004" may be more appropriate.
 - On page 8 line 5, applicant recites amounts δ_1 and δ_2 and later gives an equation defining the amounts, however applicant does not identify what the amounts are.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Utsugi (5,837,391).

Regarding claim 1, Utsugi clearly discloses an organic electroluminescent device comprising a transparent electrode (21a) (figure 3 and column 4 line 52), a counter electrode (21c) (figure 3 and column 4 line 59), an intermediate conductive layer (21b) (figure 3 and column 4 line 64) and organic emitting layers (22) (figure 3 and column 4 line 54-55) arranged between the transparent electrode and counter electrode. The material composing the intermediate

Art Unit: 2879

conductive layer is ITO (column 7 line 58), which has a refractive index of 1.8 in the visible range¹ and the organic emitting layer is composed of 8-quinolinol (column 5 line 24) and more specifically tris(8-quinolinol) aluminum (column 5 line 36) which has a refractive index of 1.73 in the visible range². Therefore, the refractive index of the intermediate conductive layer and the refractive index of the organic emitting layer is less than 0.2. Also, said materials are disclosed by the applicant as materials that suit the needs of the instant invention.

Regarding claim 2, Utsugi clearly discloses an organic electroluminescent device comprising a transparent electrode (21a) (figure 3 and column 4 line 52), a counter electrode (21c) (figure 3 and column 4 line 59), an intermediate conductive layer (21b) (figure 3 and column 4 line 64) and organic emitting layers (22) (figure 3 and column 4 line 54-55) sandwiching an intermediate conductive layer, arranged between the transparent electrode and counter electrode. The material forming the intermediate conductive layer is ITO (column 7 line 58), which has a refractive index of 1.8 in the visible range¹ and the organic emitting layer is formed of 8-quinolinol (column 5 line 24) and more specifically tris(8-quinolinol) aluminum (column 5 line 36) which has a refractive index of 1.73 in the visible range². Therefore, the refractive index of the intermediate conductive layer and the refractive index of the organic emitting layer is less than 0.2. Also,

¹ Zeghbroeck, Bart Van. 1997. http://ece-www.colorado.edu/~bart/book/ellipstb.htm

² D.Z. Garbuzov et al. 1996. *Chemical Physics Letters* 249 (p.434).

Art Unit: 2879

said materials are disclosed by the applicant as materials that suit the needs of the instant invention.

Regarding **claim 7**, Utsugi clearly discloses the intermediate conductive layer formed of ITO, which is the same material as the applicant's. Therefore, the absorption coefficient of the intermediate conductive layer meets the requirement of being 2.5 or less.

Regarding **claim 8**, Utsugi clearly discloses a display unit (column 1 line 40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 3 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al. (6,107;734) in view of May (US 6,211,613 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Tanaka et al. teaches a transparent electrode (2) (abstract), a counter electrode (3) arranged opposite to the transparent electrode (abstract and figure 2), one or more intermediate conductive layers (4) and one ore more organic emitting layers (5) (abstract) arranged between the transparent electrode and the counter electrode (figure 2). Tanaka et al., however, does not teach that the difference between the refractive index of the intermediate conductive layer and the organic emitting layer is less than 0.2. In the same field

Art Unit: 2879

of endeavor, May teaches the refractive indices of the transparent electrode layer and organic electroluminescent layer to be similar (column 4 lines 14-15) to

eliminate reflection between the interfaces of the layers to achieve good

readability in typical indoor and outdoor light conditions and lower power

consumption (column 4 lines 7-11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art to have an OLED with the refractive index of the

intermediate conductive layer and organic emitting layer similar to each other

(less than 0.2) to prevent reflections of the light output between interfaces of

layers to achieve good readability in typical indoor and outdoor light conditions.

Regarding claim 3, Tanaka et al. discloses an intermediate conductive layer by combining some layers of items (a) to (f) (column 7 lines 61-62). Where (a) an ultra-thin film metal/the transparent electrode (column 7 line 48) can be ITO (column 8 line 12) and (b) a mixed layer of an electron transport ability compound and an electron injection ability compound/the transparent electrode (column 7 lines 49-51), where the electron injection ability compounds can be LiF (column 8 line 22). Applicant discloses in the instant application that LiF is a material having low refractive index combined with a transparent electrode such as ITO to form the claimed intermediate conductive layer that has refractive index with difference of less than 0.2 compared to the refractive index of the organic emitting layer. Therefore, the LiF layer has refractive index less than the organic emitting layer and the ITO layer has a refractive index higher than the organic emitting layer.

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding **claim 5** and **6**, Tanaka et al. discloses an intermediate conductive layer by combining some layers of items (a) to (f) (column 7 lines 61-62). Where (a) an ultra-thin film metal/the transparent electrode (column 7 line 48) can be ITO (column 8 line 12) and (b) a mixed layer of an electron transport ability compound and an electron injection ability compound/the transparent electrode (column 7 lines 49-51), where the electron injection ability compounds can be LiF (column 8 line 22). Applicant discloses in the instant application that LiF is a material having low refractive index and ITO is a transparent conductive metal oxide.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al. (6.107.734) and May (US 6,211,613 B1) in view of Pei et al. (US 6,593,687 B1).

Regarding **claim 4,** Tanaka et al. teaches an intermediate conductive layer by combining some layers of items (a) to (f) (column 7 lines 61-62). Where (a) an ultra-thin film metal/the transparent electrode (column 7 line 48) can be ITO (column 8 line 12) and (b) a mixed layer of an electron transport ability compound and an electron injection ability compound/the transparent electrode (column 7 lines 49-51), where the electron injection ability compounds can be LiF (column 8 line 22).

However, Tanaka et al. and May does not teach that the intermediate conductive layer is a mixture. In the same field of endeavor, Pei et al. discloses that it should be evident that any electrode layer may be constructed as a laminate, composite or mixture of materials (column 11 lines 39-41). Therefore, it

Art Unit: 2879

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the layer as a mixture as an alternative to a laminate.

Applicant discloses in the instant application that LiF is a material having low refractive index combined with a transparent electrode such as ITO to form the claimed intermediate conductive layer that has refractive index with difference of less than 0.2 compared to the refractive index of the organic emitting layer. Therefore, the LiF layer has refractive index less than the organic emitting layer and the ITO layer has a refractive index higher than the organic emitting layer.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Garbuzov et al. (US 5,874,803 A)
 - Kido et al. (US 2003/0189401 A1)
 - Kawase (US 6,815,886 B2)
 - Liao et al. (US 6,936, 961 B2)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Tso whose telephone number is 571-272-9221. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday; 8:30am-6:00pm EST; First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/553,876

Art Unit: 2879

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William Tso Examiner Art Unit 2879

WI

KARAB! GUHARAY PRIMAHY EXAMINER